

2025 Nursing Research and Clinical Innovations Symposium Abstract Scoring Rubric

Abstract Classification: <input type="radio"/> Innovation <input type="radio"/> Research					Points Note: 1/2 points allowed!
Background	0 The background is poorly described.	1 to 2 The description of the background is inadequate.	3 The background is well described.	4 to 5 The background is concise and exceptionally described.	
Aim	0 The aim is poorly described with no link provided to the background.	1 to 2 The aim is inadequate with a vague link to the background.	3 The aim is well described with a clear link to the background.	4 to 5 The aim is exceptionally described with a strong link to the background.	
Method/Innovation plan	0 The method/innovation plan is not in alignment with the aim and is poorly described.	1 to 2 The method/innovation plan has some alignment / is aligned with the aim. There are gaps in the description.	3 The method/innovation plan is aligned with the aim and is well described.	4 to 5 The method/innovation plan is strongly aligned with the aim and an exceptional description is provided.	
Results/Innovation outcome	0 The results/innovation are poorly described and inconsistent with the method and aim.	1 to 2 There is some description of the results/innovation with some consistencies with the method and aim.	3 The results/innovation are well described and clearly consistent with the method and aim with key results highlighted.	4 to 5 The description of the results/innovation is exceptional with strong alignment with the method and aim with key results highlighted.	
Conclusion/ Recommendations	0 There is no clear conclusion or recommendations.	1 to 2 The conclusion is poorly written and/or recommendations are vague.	3 The conclusion is logical with clear recommendations supported by the results.	4 to 5 The conclusion is exceptional with strong recommendations supported by the results.	
Contribution to Paediatric Nursing Practice	0 Unlikely to contribute to paediatric nursing care and/or knowledge.	1 to 2 Some contribution to paediatric nursing care and/or knowledge.	3 Good contribution to paediatric nursing care and/or knowledge.	4 to 5 Exceptional contribution to paediatric nursing care and/or knowledge.	
Presentation and conformity to submission guidelines	0 The title is confusing, the word count is significantly over 300 words, multiple spelling and/or grammar errors. Writing is inconsistent and abstract does not flow well.	1 to 2 The title may be confusing, the word count is significantly over 300 words, and some spelling and/or grammar errors. Writing is inconsistent and/or abstract is disjointed.	3 The title is clear, the word count is close to 300 words, one or two spelling and/or grammar errors. Writing is consistent, abstract flows well.	4 to 5 The title is clear, the word count is close to 300 words, no spelling and/or grammar errors. Writing is consistent, abstract flows exceptionally well.	
Reviewer Comments:			Total Score: /35		